

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA**

ROLANDO CAMUNAS,)
) **Case No.:**
Plaintiff,)
)
v.)
) **COMPLAINT AND DEMAND**
NATIONAL REPUBLICAN) **FOR JURY TRIAL**
SENATORIAL COMMITTEE,)
)
Defendant.)

COMPLAINT

ROLANDO CAMUNAS (“Plaintiff”), by and through his attorneys, KIMMEL & SILVERMAN, P.C., alleges the following against NATIONAL REPUBLICAN SENATORIAL COMMITTEE (“Defendant”):

INTRODUCTION

1. Plaintiff’s Complaint is based on the Telephone Consumer Protection Act (“TCPA”), 47 U.S.C. § 227 *et seq.*

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

2. Jurisdiction of this Court arises pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331. See Mims v. Arrow Fin. Services, LLC, 132 S. Ct. 740, 747, 181 L. Ed. 2d 881 (2012).

3. Defendant conducts business in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and as such, personal jurisdiction is established.

4. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b)(2).

PARTIES

5. Plaintiff is a natural person residing in Philadelphia, PA 19128.

1 6. Plaintiff is a “person” as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C. § 153(39).

2 7. Defendant is a corporation that has its headquarters located at 425 2ND Street NE,
3 Washington, DC 20002.

4 8. Defendant is a “person” as that term is defined by 47 U.S.C. §153(39).

5 9. Defendant acted through its agents, employees, officers, members, directors,
6 heirs, successors, assigns, principals, trustees, sureties, subrogees, representatives, and insurers.

7 **FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS**

8 10. Defendant devised and implemented a campaign marketing strategy which
9 includes the transmission of text messages through use of an automatic telephone dialing system.

10 11. Plaintiff received multiple text messages from Defendant to his cellular telephone
11 XXX-XXX-5091.

12 12. Defendant did not obtain express consent from Plaintiff prior to sending the
13 Plaintiff the unsolicited text messages.

14 13. Plaintiff never provided his cellular telephone number to Defendant, has no
15 affiliation with Defendant, has never provided any donations to Defendant, and has not attended
16 any rallies organized by Defendant.

17 14. Plaintiff has been on the Do Not Call Registry since June 19, 2015.

18 15. These unsolicited text messages placed to Plaintiff’s cellular telephone were
19 placed using an automated telephone dialing system (ATDS).
20

21 16. The text messages were not sent to Plaintiff for “emergency purposes.”

22 17. The actions described herein were in violation of the TCPA.
23
24
25

COUNT I
Defendants Violated the TCPA 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)

1
2 18. Plaintiff incorporates the forgoing paragraphs as though the same were set forth at
3 length herein.

4 19. The TCPA prohibits placing calls or text messages using an automatic telephone
5 dialing system or automatically generated or prerecorded voice to a cellular telephone except
6 where the caller has the prior express consent of the called party to make such calls or where the
7 call is made for emergency purposes. 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(1)(A)(iii).

8
9 20. Defendant initiated multiple text messages to Plaintiff's cellular telephone
10 number using an automatic telephone dialing system.

11 21. Defendant's texts were not made for "emergency purposes."

12 22. Defendant's texts to Plaintiff's cellular telephones without any prior express
13 consent.

14 23. Defendant contacted Plaintiff despite the fact that Plaintiff has been on the Do
15 Not Call Registry.

16 24. Defendant's acts as described above were done with malicious, intentional,
17 willful, reckless, wanton and negligent disregard for Plaintiff's rights under the law and with the
18 purpose of harassing Plaintiff.

19 25. The acts and/or omissions of Defendant were done unfairly, unlawfully,
20 intentionally, deceptively and fraudulently and absent bona fide error, lawful right, legal defense,
21 legal justification or legal excuse.

22
23 26. As a result of the above violations of the TCPA, Plaintiff has suffered the losses
24 and damages as set forth above entitling Plaintiff to an award of statutory, actual and trebles
25 damages.

COUNT II
Defendant Violated the TCPA 47 U.S.C. § 227(C)

1
2 27. Plaintiff incorporates the forgoing paragraphs as though the same were set forth at
3 length herein.

4 28. The TCPA prohibits any person or entity of initiating any telephone solicitation to
5 a residential telephone subscriber who has registered his or his telephone number on the National
6 Do-Not-Call Registry of persons who do not wish to receive telephone solicitations that is
7 maintained by the Federal Government. 47 U.S.C. § 227(c).

8
9 29. Defendant contacted Plaintiff despite the fact that Plaintiff has been on the Do
10 Not Call Registry since June 2004.

11 30. Defendant's acts as described above were done with malicious, intentional,
12 willful, reckless, wanton and negligent disregard for Plaintiff's rights under the law and with the
13 purpose of harassing Plaintiff.

14 31. The acts and/or omissions of Defendant were done unfairly, unlawfully,
15 intentionally, deceptively and fraudulently and absent bona fide error, lawful right, legal defense,
16 legal justification or legal excuse.

17 32. As a result of the above violations of the TCPA, Plaintiff has suffered the losses
18 and damages as set forth above entitling Plaintiff to an award of statutory, actual and trebles
19 damages.
20

21
22 **Wherefore, Plaintiff, ROLANDO CAMUNAS, respectfully prays for judgment as**
23 follows:

- 24 a. All actual damages Plaintiff suffered (as provided under 47 U.S.C. §
25 227(b)(3)(A));

- 1 b. Statutory damages of \$500.00 per violative telephone call (as provided
2 under 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3)(B));
- 3 c. Additional statutory damages of \$500.00 per violative telephone call (as
4 provided under 47 U.S.C. § 227(C);
- 5 d. Treble damages of \$1,500.00 per violative telephone call (as provided
6 under 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3));
- 7 e. Additional treble damages of \$1,500.00 per violative telephone call (as
8 provided under 47 U.S.C. § 227(C);
- 9 f. Injunctive relief (as provided under 47 U.S.C. § 227(b)(3) and (c); and
10 g. Any other relief this Honorable Court deems appropriate.
- 11
- 12

13 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

14 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that Plaintiff, ROLANDO CAMUNAS, demands a jury trial
15 in this case.

16

17 RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED,

18

19 DATED: 03/02/2021

20 By: /s/ Amy L. Bennecoff Ginsburg
21 Amy L. Bennecoff Ginsburg, Esq.
22 Kimmel & Silverman, P.C.
23 30 East Butler Pike
24 Ambler, PA 19002
25 Phone: (215) 540-8888
Facsimile: (877) 788-2864
Email: teamkimmel@creditlaw.com